

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOTES OF CASES.

Vaccination of Pupils.—As a defense to a prosecution for failure to send his son to school, a father pleaded a by-law of the board of education which prohibited pupils from attending public schools unless vaccinated. In affirming a judgment of conviction, the Court of Appeals of New York in People v. Ekerold, 105 Northeastern Reporter 670, said: "Our public school system has been developed with great pains and solicitude, and its maintenance and support have been recognized as so important for the welfare of the state that they have been provided for and safeguarded in the Constitution itself. As a part of this system, a statute has been passed-requiring attendance at school of children within certain limits. * * * Failure to comply with the statute ought not to be excused except for some good reason. It is perfectly evident that in a great city like New York, with its complex and varying conditions, regulations must be adopted for the purposes of preserving discipline, order, and health in the public schools. Some of these regulations would be so plain and essential that no reasonable person would think of disputing their validity or of making unwillingness to comply therewith a basis for not sending his children to school. The question which, within certain limits, is presented here, is whether the statute and the by-laws of the board of education in that city, adopted under and in accordance with the statute requiring vaccination as a condition of attending the public schools, are, under ordinary conditions, so unusual or oppressive that a parent should be allowed to make his unwillingness to comply therewith a basis for not sending his children to school, for that is what the present position of the defendant amounts to. I do not think that they are of such a character."

The Nervous Turkey and Phlegmatic Goose.—The fact that geese were killed on a railroad track raises no presumption of negligence within a law making the killing of cattle or other live stock by a train prima facie evidence of negligence, as geese are not "live stock" within the statute. So held the Supreme Court of North Carolina in James v. Atlantic Coast Line R. Co., 82 Southeastern Reporter, 1026. Nor did the fact that the whistle was not blown show The court in discussing the latter proposition said: negligence. "The plaintiff relies on the 'turkey case' (Lewis v. Railroad, 163 N. C. 33, 79 S. E. 283, 47 L. R. A. [N. S.] 1125). But the two cases are very dissimilar. In that case the evidence was that the turkeys could have been seen at a distance of 500 yards; there was quite a drove of them, and they were crossing the track. The turkey is a nervous fowl, and the jury might well have found that if the whistle had been blown the turkeys would have taken wing or have